

E-ISSN: 2616-4493 P-ISSN: 2616-4485 www.homoeopathicjournal.com IJHS 2023; 7(4): 414-422 Received: 25-10-2023 Accepted: 27-11-2023

Ankitha N Karanik

Principal Investigator, Final year BHMS, Father Muller Homoeopathic Medical College, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

Dr. Sneha Jnanakshee HR

PG Scholar, Department of Organon of Medicine and Homeoepathic Philosophy, Father Muller Homoeopathic Medical College, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

Dr. Skandhan S Kumar

BHMS MD Organon of Medicine (Gold medallist), Assistant Professor, Department of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathic Philosophy, Father Muller Homoeopathic Medical College, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: Ankitha N Karanik Principal Investigator, Final year BHMS, Father Muller Homoeopathic Medical College, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India

International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences

To determine the potential of agrohomeoeopathy by using calcarea phosphorica 200c by seed priming technique and analyzing the germination rate and growth rate of *Vigna unguiculata* plant (cow pea) plant

Ankitha N Karanik, Dr. Sneha Jnanakshee HR and Dr. Skandhan S Kumar

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26164485.2023.v7.i4f.1007

Abstract

This study was undertaken to know the effectiveness of calcarea phos 200C on Agrohomoeopathy, a single blinded study that shows experimental group had good yield when compared to control group. **Background:** This article gives an insight to common man who is interested in farming or agriculture, to get accustomed with new technique and effectiveness of homoeopathic medicines in Agrohomoeopathy, how we can increase germination rate and growth rate of various plants cost effectively, so that we can take various common problems that arises during cultivation of such plants. **Methods:** Various old literatures throws light upon Agrohomoeopathy, and its role on homoeopathic medicine upon different plants. New techniques like Seed Priming is highlighted in this present studies. **Conclusion:** Gives idea to a common man regarding effectiveness of homoeopathic medicine in increasing the quality of yield by improving germination and growth rate without using synthetic chemicals.

Keywords: *Vigna unguiculata* plant, calcarea phosphoricum, centesimal potency, seed priming technique, germination and growth rate, agro homoeopathy

Introduction

Agriculture is the backbone of the Indian economy; more than 50% of Indian people's livelihood directly depends on it, but contributes only 18% of nations GDP. So, India plays great emphasis on natural approach and is willing to expand resources on agro homeopathy and produce applicable results for this country.

Agro homeopathy is a branch of science which deals with using homoeopathic medicineon plants influencing its growth, metabolites production, essential yield, photochemical process and nutritive values when applied on germinating seeds or on growing plants.

The idea of testing the Homoeopathic remedies on plants was invented by Dr. Baron von Boenninghausen. He has quoted in his writings that the medicines which were left out were thrown to his plant pots and observed effect on plants.

Homoeopathic preparation can be applied on the soil, leaves or any part of the plant to increase, decrease the production of bioactive substances and directly increase itsquality.

Modern agriculture practices with an intensive approach (e.g., heavy tillage, synthetic agrochemicals, hybrid varieties and mono cropping) may further deteriorates fragile lands by accelerating the loss of organic matter, degradation of soil and environmental damage (deterioration of water and air quality, loss of biodiversity, and biomagnifications) thus, several attempts have been carried out to achieve ecosystem stability. Vital aspects of crop improvement through maintaining sustainability can be accomplished by modulating the metabolism of seed that would be achieved by seed priming technique.

What is seed priming technique?

Seed priming is pre sowing seed treatment that allows controlled hydration of seeds to imbibe water and go through the first stage of germination but does not allow radical protrusion through the seed coat. The aim is to develop natural, simple and inexpensive methods to increase agricultural production without using synthetic chemicals, so that a wide range of agricultural hazards can be controlled. This study is an affordable therapeutic treatment used in cultivation of plants. With an increase in the number of farmers and researchers are opting for natural and organic methods of farming this study would be useful. With the development in the field of agriculture, there is increased in organisms affecting the plants, hence using of homoeopathic in agriculture as a conventional agriculture way to maintain agro-ecological balance in this field is essential.

Article by department of food science and technology, university of reading, UK States that optimization of germination process of cowpea by response surface mythology is 8 hours with germination time as 52 hrs, hence this research is done as a part of continued study.

Why Calcarea phosporica?

Calcarea phosporica is one of a twelve tissue salts Proved by - Schussler

Scientific name is Phosphate of lime

Common name is Calcium Phosphate

Calcium and phosphate is a vital component of soil required for the plant growth

Soil studies show that calcium and phosphate was less in Mangalore soil hence we have chosen thismedicine

Why in centesimal potency?

Dr Borieck Material Medica says Dose – First to third trituration. Higher potencies often more effective. Agro homeopathy repertory says Leaves; MARGINS; yellow- calcarea phos Leaves; Dry- calcarea phos Leaves; Leathery-calcarea phos Leaves; Rolled- calcarea phos Leaves; Wrinkled- calcarea phos Named Diseases; Mildew- calcarea phos Named Diseases; Sooty- calcarea phos General; Brittle- calcarea phos General; Discoloration; brown- calcarea phos General; Straggly; calcarea phos Generative; Pollination; excessive- calcarea phos Generative; Stamen; long- calcarea phos Fruits; Rotting- calcarea phos Epidermis; Cracks- calcarea phos Epidermis; Thin- calcarea phos

Study Design: 40 seeds of cow pea will be selected after certified by Botanist.

20 seed will be soaked in 2 jars respectively for a period of 8 hrs for seed priming technique.

Coder will be assessing the jars, medicine and hence principal investigator or guide is unaware of the procedure.

20 seeds will be put in one jar and medicine Calcarea Phosphorica 200 C (1drop of medicine with 50 ml of distilled water) will be introduced in one jar and labeled as S1(Experiment group).

20 seeds will be put in one jar and medicine (1 drop of rectifying spirit with 50ml of distilled water) will be introduced in another jar and labeled as S2 (control group).

After 8 hrs the seeds will be shifted to respective grow bags filled with soil and drainage holes. Regular monitoring will be made for a period of 10 days (to avoid insects or to regulate water content and to regulate temperature) and will be labeled as S1, S2 respectively.

Regular watering will be done morning and evening, everyday with normal water and factors like germination and growth rate will be recorded for 10 days.

On 11 th day the germinated seed shoot length and root length will be measured using thread and scale and will be assessed for germination rate.And these seeds will be introduced into their respective grow bags and will be named as S1a, S1b.S1c, and S1d....S2a, S2b, S2c, S2d...regular watering and monitoring will be done for at respective time intervals for aperiod of 3 months.

At the end of 3 months plants will be removed from the soil, weight and shoot length will be measured and considered to be fresh weight.

For dry weight the plants are then kept in hot air oven to and dried at 110'Ffor 5hrs at and weight will be measured.

The observations are as followed

S1(days)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	1 Month	2 Month	3 Month
А		1	3	14	15	16	18	18.2	19	21	23	60	98	149
В		2	4	12	16	17	18	18.3	19	22	23	117	202	308
С		1.5	3	10	12	15	16	17.8	18	20	22	80	139	249
D		3	6	15	16	17	18	19	22	23.5	24.7	115	230	410
E		2	5	14	15	16	18	20	21	22	23	110	200	400
F		1	3	8	9	10	11	11.7	15	19	22	86	112	203
G		1	3	7	10	10.8	11	11.9	15.3	18	20	87	115	205
Н		2.1	3	7.5	10	11	12	15	18	21	22	122.5	220	387
Ι		1.7	2	8.5	11	12	13.5	14	16	18	21	60	78	100
J		1	2	6	11	13	15	15.8	16	18	20	78	130	212
K		2	3	6	12	12.7	15	18	19	20	21.5	40	60	88
L		1	2	5	11	12	13	15	18	20	22	40	68	84
М		2	4	12	13	14	15	18	19	21	22.7	88	122	217
Ν		0	3	13	14	15	16	19	19.7	22	23	90	150	273
0		0	4	11	13	15	16	19	20	21	23	60	100	168
Р		0	4	10	13	16	18	19	20	22	24	125	206.5	306
Q		0	4	9	12	14	17	19	20	21	23	97.5	198	259
R		0	3	6	9	10	10.2	12	13	18	19	48	57	79
S		0	0	7	9	9.5	10	10.5	14	20	21	47.5	55	70
Т		0	0	0	3	6	7	12.5	19	20	21	45	50	66

Table1: S1 Experiment group data's in centimeters on respective dates. (SHOOT in CMS)

Table 2: S2 Control	group data's in	centimeters on re	espective dates.	(SHOOT in CMS)
	Broup aana bin		oppoor of autom	(511001 m cmb)

S2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	1 Month	2 Month	3 Month
Α		1	2	6	7	8	11	13	15	20	21	82	126	200
В		0.5	2	6	8	10	13	15	18	19	20	86	133	176
С		2	4	13	14.5	15	17	18	21	21.5	22.5	110	191	245
D		1	1.5	5	8	12	15	16	16.5	18	20	49	91	117
Е		1	2	10	12	13	14	14.5	16	17	20	52	100	129
F		1.7	2	6	9	11	12	12.7	13	16	20	30	56	100
G		0	3	5	9	11.5	12	12.2	13	15	19	25	35	45
Η		0	4	8	12	12.7	14	15	18	21	22	86	123	210
Ι		4	4	8	12	13	15	17	19	21	22	36.2	53	70
J		0	0	11	11.5	15	16	16.5	17.8	20	22.7	42	98	113
Κ		0	0	10	11.5	14	15	16	17.7	20	22.5	30	51	68
L		0	0	7	12	14	14	15.5	16	17	19	29	59	73
Μ		0	0	7	11	13	13	15	16.2	17	19	30	60	78
Ν		0	0	6	11	12.6	13	15	17	18	20	26	38	49
0		0	0	6	10	12.8	15	16	18	20	21	28.5	35	0
Р		0	0	6	10	13	14	16	18	20	22	28	32	0
Q		0	0	5	9	10	11	14	17	19	21	24	0	0
R		0	0	0	0	12	12.5	13	15	18	21	23	0	0
S		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	19	0	0	0
Т		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	20	0	0	0





Fig 1: Shows the seed soaking, germination and growth of the plant

Table 3: Length of the root in centimeters of	n 11 th day and 3 months (ROOT	(in CMS)
---	---	----------

S1	11 th Day	3 Month	S2	11 th Day	3 Month
Α	30	12.5	А	20	11
В	32	10	В	29	22
С	44	5.5	С	30	16
D	28	15	D	24	5
Е	24	11	E	10	15
F	30	5	F	15	5
G	27	12	G	15	7
Н	30	6	Н	15	13
Ι	26	11	Ι	15	10
J	23	4	J	28	13
K	21	23	Κ	21	7
L	30	21	L	25	7
М	23	7.5	М	15	5
Ν	17	8	Ν	16	7
0	26	8	0	17	
Р	32	9	Р	9	
Q	34	8	Q	14	
R	20	10	R	22	
S	32	11	S	21	
Т	26	8	Т	19	



Fig 2: Shows the root length of the plant

Table 4: Dry weight and wet weight of the plant Number of pods by the end of 3rd month

	S1	S2
Fresh weight	241.4	109.7
Dry weight	54.4	18.9
No of pods		
S1	14	
S2	1	



Fig 3: Shows the wet weight and dry weight of both the group. Also shows the pod.

Statistical analysis and results

Table 5: Statistical data

Tests of Normality								
	Group	Kolmogorov-S	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a					
	Gloup	Statistic	Sig.	Statistic	Sig.			
a11	Experiment	.150	$.200^{*}$.956	.467			
q11	Control	.263	lity mirnov ^a Shapiro-Wilk Sig. Statistic Sig .200* .956 .46 .010 .861 .03 .200* .927 .13 .134 .825 .01 .134 .910 .06 .054 .904 .12 .200* .928 .14	.031				
M (11	Experiment	.156	.200*	.927	.136			
Monun	Control	.200	.134	.825	.010			
Month	Experiment	.170	.134	.910	.065			
Month2	Control	.225	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.128				
Manuth 2	Experiment	.140	.200*	.928	.140			
Monuis	Control	.169	$.200^{*}$.903	.125			

Table 6: Statistical data

Descriptive								
		Group	Statistic					
		Mean	22.0450					
		Median	22.0000					
		Std. Deviation	1.41402					
	Experiment	Minimum	19.00					
	1	Maximum	24.70					
		Range	5.70					
		Interguartile Range	2.00					
q11		Mean	20,6929					
		Median	20,0000					
		Std Deviation	1 38867					
	Control	Minimum	19.00					
	Control	Maximum	22.70					
		Range	3 70					
		Interquertile Denge	2.28					
Month 1		Moor	2.30					
Monun		Median	79.8230					
		Std Deviction	05.0000					
	Evenoring	Std. Deviation	28.04404					
	Experiment	Minimum	40.00					
		Maximum	125.00					
		Range	85.00					
		Interquartile Range	55.88					
		Mean	50.9429					
		Median	39.1000					
		Std. Deviation	28.15478					
	Control	Minimum	25.00					
		Maximum	110.00					
		Range	85.00					
		Interquartile Range	53.25					
Month2		Mean	129.5250					
		Median	118.5000					
		Std. Deviation	60.90361					
	Experiment	Minimum	50.00					
		Maximum	230.00					
		Range	180.00					
		Interquartile Range	129.00					
		Mean	86.7143					
		Median	75.5000					
		Std. Deviation	44.79428					
	Control	Minimum	35.00					
		Maximum	191.00					
		Range	156.00					
		Interguartile Range	71.25					
Month3		Mean	211.6500					
		Median	208,5000					
		Std Deviation	112 40307					
	Experiment	Minimum	66.00					
	Experiment	Maximum	410.00					
		Range	344.00					
		Interquartile Dange	206.75					
		Moor	110 5000					
		Iviean	119.5000					
		Median	106.5000					
	a i	Std. Deviation	64.23485					
	Control	Minimum	45.00					
		Maximum	245.00					
		Range	200.00					
		Interquartile Range	112.50					

Table 7: Statistical data

Group Statistics							
	Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	t		
a11	Experiment	20	22.045	1.414	3.206		
qII	Control	20	20.685	1.265	p=0.003 hs		
M. (1.1	Experiment	20	79.825	28.644	3.811		
Monun	Control	18	45.372	26.880	<i>p</i> <0.001 vhs		
Month	Experiment	20	129.525	60.904	2.699		
Month2	Control	16	80.063	45.494	p=0.011 sig		
Manal 2	Experiment	20	211.650	112.403	2.760		
wontins	Control	14	119.500	64.235	p=0.009 hs		

Table 8: Statistical data

Descriptive									
q11									
Gi	roup	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum			
	Day 11	20	22.045	1.414	19.000	24.700			
	One month	20	79.825	28.644	40.000	125.000			
Experiment	Two months	20	127.475	58.115	50.000	230.000			
	Three months	20	211.650	112.403	66.000	410.000			
	Total	80	110.249	94.503	19.000	410.000			
	Day 11	20	20.685	1.265	19.000	22.700			
	One month	20	55.835	44.364	23.000	202.000			
Control	Two months	14	73.000	44.232	32.000	191.000			
	Three months	14	119.500	64.235	45.000	245.000			
	Total	68	62.138	54.551	19.000	245.000			

Table 9: Statistical data

ANOVA								
q11								
	Group	F	р					
Experiment	Between Groups	30.548	<0.001 vhs					
Control	Between Groups	15.177	<0.001 vhs					

Post Hoc Tests

Table 10: Statistical data

Multiple Comparisons							
	1	Dependent Var	iable: q11				
		Bonferre	oni				
Group	(I) period	(J) period	Mean Difference (I-J)	р			
		One month	-57.780	.037 sig			
	Day 11	Two months	-105.430	<0.001 vhs			
Experiment -		Three months	-189.605	<0.001 vhs			
	One month	Two months	-47.650	.137			
	One month	Three months	-131.825	<0.001 vhs			
	Two months	Three months	-84.175	<0.001 vhs			
		One month	-35.150	.068			
	Day 11	Two months	-52.315	.005hs			
Control		Three months	-98.815	<0.001 vhs			
Control	One month	Two months	-17.165	1.000			
	One month	Three months	-63.665	<0.001 vhs			
	Two months	Three months	-46.500	.032 sig			
		Crown Sta	tistics				

Group Statistics						
	Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation		
Length of the root 11thday	Experiment	20	27.750	5.928		
	Control	20	19.000	5.982		
Length of the root 3 months	Experiment	20	10.275	4.852		
	Control	14	10.214	5.071		

Table 11: Statistical data

Independent Samples Test					
	t-test for Equality of Means				
	t	р			
Length of the root 11thday	4.646	<0.001 vhs			
Length of the root 3 months	.035	.972			

Defined Complex Statistics							
Paired Samples Statistics							
	Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation			
Experiment	Length of the root 11thday	20	27.750	5.928			
	Length of the root 3 months	20	10.275	4.852			
Control	Length of the root 11thday	14	19.857	6.371			
	Length of the root 3 months	14	10.214	5.071			

Table 12: Statistical data

Table 13: Statistical data

Paired Samples Test						
Group		Paire	d Differences	4		
		Mean	Std. Deviation	ι	р	
Experiment	Length of the root 11thday - Length of the root 3 months	17.475	8.320	9.393	<0.001 vhs	
Control	Length of the root 11thday - Length of the root 3 months	9.643	6.380	5.655	<0.001 vhs	



Fig 4: Graphical representation of length of the root of both experiment group and control group



Fig 5: Graphical representation of lenth of the root of both experiment group and control group



Fig 6: Shows the graphical representation of fresh weight of both experiment and control group



Fig 7: Shows the graphical representation of no of pods by the end of third month of both experiment and control group

Discussion

The study which is conducted using plant has more effective study. Where the P value is greater than .05

Results: p value Effective study

The P value is p < 0.5 experiment group is proved to be effective than control group.

Conclusion

This study suggest that Agrohomoeopathy is less harmful than conventional method of farming which is practiced worldwide. Thus it will be helpful to the farmers in the means of cost effective farming as well as the buyers who will be consuming healthy vegetables. Thus the above mentioned data proves statistically and scientifically that Agrohomoeopathy is the best method of farming with the evidence.

Acknowledgement

I am thankful to Father Muller Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital for funding my project and I am grateful to Rev. Fr Roshan Crasta – Administrator, Dr. ESJ Prabhu Kiran – Principal, Dr. Jacintha Monteiro- HOD, Department of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathic Philosophy, FMHMC. I also thank my fellowmates Sri Harene S, Gazal Mohammed, Bhuvan.M, Namith S.T and M.Chandini for their support. I also thank my parents, sister and brother in law for their encouragement and support throughout the research.

Conflict of Interest Not available

Financial Support Not available

Not available

References

- 1. Pereira MM, Martins AD, Morais LC, Dória J, Cavalcanti VP, Rodrigues FA, *et al.* The Potential of Agro-homeopathy Applied to Medicinal Plants-A Review. J Agric Sci. 2019;11(4):215-27.
- Bassi JA, Dugje IY. Effect of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. walp) in mixture with pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] as affected by variety and time of cowpea introduction in Maiduguri North Eastern, Nigeria. Int. J Agric. Nutr. 2020;2(1):01-07. DOI: 10.33545/26646064.2020.v2.i1a.23
- 3. Hahnemann S, Boericke W. Organon of Medicine. 6th edn. New Delhi: B. Jain; c2016.
- 4. Hahnemann S, Hempel CJ. Materia medica pura. New York: William Radde.
- 5. Cowpea. Wikipedia. Wikimedia Foundation; c2022. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cowpea (Accessed: December 19, 2022).
- 6. Cowpea (no date) Cowpea | Diseases and Pests, Description, Uses, Propagation. Available at: https://plantvillage.psu.edu/topics/cowpea/infos (Accessed: December 19, 2022).
- Crothers L. Cowpea, Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education Program; c2021. Available at: https://sarep.ucdavis.edu/covercrop/cowpea (Accessed: December 19, 2022).
- Homoeopathic materia medica by William Boericke. Available at: http://homeoint.org/books/boericmm/ (Accessed: December 19, 2022).
- 9. Timko MP, Ehlers JD, Roberts PA. Cowpea. In Pulses, sugar and tuber crops,). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg; c2007. p. 49-67.
- 10. Moreno NM. Agro-Homeopathy–An Alternative for Agriculture. Hpathy Ezine, December; c2008.
- 11. Mandal PP, Mandal B. Text-book of homeopathic pharmacy. Calcutta: New Central Book Agency; c1994.
- 12. Mangal SK. DNA markers in plant improvement. New Delhi: Gene-Tech Books; c2012.
- 13. Pharmaceutical science in homoeopathy and Pharmacodynamics. B Jain Pub Pvt Ltd; c2001.
- Soil science (no date) Welcome to Krishi Vigyan Kendra – Dakshina Kannada. Available at: https://www.kvkdk.org/soil_science.html (Accessed: December 8, 2022, 14
- 15. Systemic Agro-Homeopathy: A New Approach to Agriculture" (no date). Available at: https://www.lidsen.com/journals/icm/icm-06-03-020.
- 16. RSPC. Soils of Karnataka: Their kinds, distribution, characterization, and interpretations for optimising land use: B. executive summary. Nagpur: National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Indian Council of Agricultural Research in Barton, B. and Peat, J

(2014). Cooperation with State Soil Survey, Dept. of Agriculture, Govt. of Karnataka; c1998.

- 17. Kothari CR, Garg G. Medical statistics: A guide to SPPS, Data Analysis and critical appraisal. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell. Research methodology: Methods and techniques. New Delhi: New Age International (P) Limited, Publishers; c2019.
- 18. Agro Repertory (no date). Available at: https://homeopathyplus.com/agrorepertory.pdf.
- 19. Choudhary CM. Research methodology. Jaipur: RBSA Publishers; c2009.
- 20. Author links open overlay panel Salim Laminia Person Envelope Francis Kusia Eric William Corneliusb Agyemang Danquahb Patrick Attamaha Zakaria Mukhtarua Frederick Justice Awukua Emmanuel Yaw Owusua Mavis Acheampongb Gloria Mensaha *et al.* Identification of sources of resistance in cowpea lines to macrophomina root rot disease in northern Ghana, Heliyon. Elsevier; c2022. Available at:https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2 405844022035058 (Accessed: December 19, 2022).
- 21. Singh BB. Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. Genetic resources, chromosome engineering and crop improvement. 2005 Mar 16;1:117-62.
- Siddhuraju P, Becker K. The antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of processed cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) seed extracts. Food chemistry. 2007 Jan 1;101(1):10-9.
- 23. Hahnemann S, Boericke W. Organon of Medicine. 5th and 6th. New Delhi: B. Jain; c2016.

How to Cite This Article

Karanik AN, Sneha Jnanakshee HR, Kumar SS. To determine the potential of agrohomeoeopathy by using calcarea phosphorica 200c by seed priming technique and analyzing the germination rate and growth rate of Vigna unguiculata plant (cow pea) plant. International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences. 2023;7(4):414-422.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.