International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences

E-ISSN: 2616-4493
P-ISSN: 2616-4485
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.96
www.homoeopathicjournal.com
IJHS 2025; 9(3): 1608-1610
Received: 20-06-2025
Accepted: 22-07-2025

Dr. Vadde Preethi
Intern, Department of Repertory, BVVS Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Dr. Rudresh V Koppal
(HOM) MD
Professor and HOD, Department of Repertory, BVVS Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Vadde Preethi
Intern, Department of Repertory, BVVS Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

From index to intelligence: The emerging role of clinical repertories in 21st century healthcare

Vadde Preethi and Rudresh V Koppal

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26164485.2025.v9.i3.Y.1869

Abstract
Clinical repertories have undergone a remarkable transformation in the 21st century, evolving from traditional printed indexes into comprehensive digital platforms that integrate vast amounts of clinical and research data. This review article explores their role in enhancing clinical decision-making, supporting systematic research, and guiding public health policies. It highlights their utility in evidence-based practice, while also critically analyzing the limitations of data quality, interoperability, ethical challenges, and governance frameworks. The paper concludes with future directions, emphasizing Artificial Intelligence (AI), machine learning, federated data models, and global standardization as critical steps for optimizing clinical repertories in healthcare and research.

Keywords: Clinical repertories, evidence-based medicine, health informatics, data interoperability, artificial intelligence, limitations, future directions

Introduction

The concept of a repertory, historically associated with homeopathy and medicine, has always served as a structured index of symptoms, signs, and remedies [1-3]. In the broader healthcare context, the 21st century has witnessed the evolution of clinical repertories into sophisticated digital infrastructures that consolidate patient information, clinical observations, research outputs, and disease registries [4]. Their primary function is to act as an organized bridge between clinical data and decision-making, ensuring that the wealth of medical knowledge is both accessible and applicable in everyday practice [5].

The rise of Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) has further highlighted the indispensability of repertories. With the exponential growth of healthcare data from Electronic Health Records (EHRs), randomized clinical trials, population health studies, and genomic databases, the need for organized systems to categorize and retrieve meaningful patterns has become paramount [9-10]. Clinical repertories in digital form not only provide access to vast pools of information but also enable pattern recognition, differential diagnosis, and therapeutic decision support at unprecedented speed [11].

Historically, repertories were manual compilations, often time-consuming to use, but still invaluable for practitioners [3]. The digital revolution, however, has allowed them to integrate with Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS), AI-based diagnostic platforms, and big data repositories, broadening their role from simple indexes to dynamic decision-making tools [7].

In research, clinical repertories provide a foundation for secondary data use, including retrospective analyses, pharmacovigilance, adverse event monitoring, and epidemiological trend mapping [5-10]. They also assist in clinical trials, from patient recruitment to long-term outcome monitoring. Thus, their impact extends beyond individual patient care, influencing healthcare delivery systems, policy frameworks, and medical education [4].

Despite these advantages, repertories face persistent challenges. Issues of data quality, heterogeneity, duplication, privacy concerns, and ethical governance limit their effectiveness [3]. The lack of global standardization further hinders interoperability across health systems, particularly in low- and middle-income countries [7-8].

The objective of this article is to provide a comprehensive overview of the role of clinical repertories in the 21st century, highlighting their utility, analyzing their inherent limitations, and exploring potential future directions with a focus on AI, digital standardization, and sustainable governance models [12-13].

Utility of clinical repertory

1. Decision support in clinical practice

Enable physicians to cross-reference symptoms, diagnoses, and treatment options.

Improve diagnostic accuracy by correlating multi-source clinical data.

2. Research and evidence generation

Facilitate secondary analyses of EHR and trial data.

Provide a platform for meta-analyses and systematic reviews

3. Public health and policy

Aid in epidemiological mapping, disease surveillance, and health planning.

Support large-scale healthcare decision-making.

4. Medical education

Serve as learning tools for students and practitioners.

Provide structured access to clinical knowledge for training.

5. Technology integration

Incorporate AI-driven predictive analytics.

Connect with wearable devices and real-time patient monitoring

Clinically oriented repertories in homoeopathy - 19th century repertories

Year	Author	Title of repertory
1. 1869	James B. Bell	The homoeopathic therapeutics of diarrhoea
2. 1873	Berridge	Complete repertory to the homoeopathic materia medica on disease of the eyes
3. 1883	Henry Minton	Uterine Therapeutics
4. 1879	H.C. Allen	The therapeutics of fever
5. 1882	William A. Allen	Repertory of the symptoms of intermittent fever
6. 1899	M.F. Douglas	Skin diseases

The 19th century repertories in homeopathy were primarily based on Materia Medica and provings. These repertories were largely general in nature, arranging symptoms systematically and descriptively. Overall, the 19th century repertories laid the foundation of repertorisation by indexing symptoms in a structured manner, though they were sometimes vast, highly detailed, and less direct for practical clinical use.

20th century repertories

Ear	Author	Title of repertory
1. 1904	J.H. Clarke	Clinical repertory
2. 1926	Oscar E. Boericke	A clinical repertory to the dictionary of materia medica
3. 1939	Herbert A. Roberts	The rheumatic remedies
4. 1935	M.W. Van Denburg	Homoeopathy therapeutics of respiratory system

In contrast, the 20th century repertories shifted toward a more clinical and therapeutic orientation. Leading contributors included O.E. Boericke, H.A. Roberts, and M.E. Van den Burg. These works emphasized practical applicability, focusing on clinical conditions, organ remedies, and disease-based indexing. For instance, Boericke's Clinical Repertory (1927) and Roberts' Rheumatic Remedies (1939) addressed specific disease conditions. Compared to their 19th century predecessors, the 20th century repertories were more specialized and clinician-friendly, though sometimes limited in depth because they concentrated on diseases rather than the full scope of proving-based symptoms.

21st century repertories

Year	Author	Title of repertory
1. Early 2000s	Robin Murphy	Homoeopathic medical repertory
2. 2001 onwards	Frederik Schroyens	Synthesis repertory
3. 2000regular updates	Roger Van Zandvoort	Complete repertory
4. 2000s digital adaptation	S.R. Phatak	Repertory of the materia medica
5. 2000s onwards	Radar Opus, Hompath	Digital repertories

The 21st century repertories, however, represent a major transition into the digital era. Repertories such as Robin Murphy's Clinical Repertory (3rd edition, 2000s), Frederik Schroyens' Synthesis Repertory (2001 onwards), and Roger van Zandvoort's Complete Repertory have been integrated into advanced software platforms like RadarOpus, Complete Dynamics, and Hompath. These digital repertories combine traditional repertorial data with materia medica, clinical cases, and research databases, allowing for faster searches, cross-referencing, and customization. Unlike the 20th century works, which were mostly book-based and specialized, the 21st century repertories offer comprehensive, dynamic, and technology-driven tools that support modern evidence-based practice and individualized case analysis

Limitations

Data quality issues missing values, duplications, and inconsistencies compromise reliability

Interoperability Barriers Diverse formats CD, SNOMED CT, LOINC) hinder seamless integration.

Ethical and Legal Concerns Patient consent, privacy, and data misuse remain pressing concerns.

Resource and Cost Constraints High infrastructure costs prevent equal adoption globally.

Bias and Inequality Repertories often underrepresent marginalized populations, leading to potential diagnostic and inequitable health care delivery.

Future directions

The future of clinical repertories lies in their transformation from static indexes into dynamic, intelligent, and globally connected systems.

1. **AI and machine learning:** Integration of predictive models and natural language processing will enable repertories to analyze complex clinical data and provide personalized suggestions.
2. **Standardization and interoperability:** Adoption of

international standards such as HL7-FHIR and CDISC will ensure seamless data exchange across healthcare systems and research platforms.

3. **Privacy-preserving models:** Federated learning approaches can allow collective data analysis without compromising patient confidentiality, ensuring compliance with ethical regulations.
4. **Integration with precision medicine:** Linking repertories with genomic and personalized health data may enhance remedy selection and improve clinical outcomes.
5. **Global collaboration:** International repertory networks can promote inclusivity, reduce cultural biases, and provide real-time support during epidemics and public health crises.

Conclusion

Clinical repertories continue to stand as indispensable pillars for clinicians, researchers, and policymakers in the 21st century. Their remarkable journey—from simple manual indexes to sophisticated AI-integrated platforms—illustrates not only the progress of medical science but also the adaptability of these tools in meeting evolving healthcare needs. Despite ongoing challenges in quality assurance, interoperability, and ethical governance, the rapid strides in digital innovation provide transformative opportunities. When coupled with global standardization and interdisciplinary collaboration, clinical repertories can transcend their traditional role and emerge as catalysts of precision medicine, evidence-based decision-making, and cutting-edge healthcare innovation. In this light, they are not merely reference sources but dynamic ecosystems shaping the future of personalized and sustainable healthcare worldwide.

References

1. Kent JT. Repertory of the Homoeopathic Materia Medica. Chicago: 1897.
2. Clarke JH. A Clinical Repertory to the Dictionary of Materia Medica. London: 1904.
3. Boenninghausen C von. Therapeutic Pocket Book for Homoeopathic Physicians. 1846.
4. Rehman A, Naz S, Razzak I. Leveraging Big Data Analytics in Healthcare Enhancement: Trends, Challenges and Opportunities. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.10488. 2020.
5. Shah MU, Khan RA. Secondary Use of Electronic Health Record: Opportunities and Challenges. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05061. 2020.
6. Hossain E, Rana R, Higgins N, et al. Natural language processing in electronic health records in relation to healthcare decision-making: A systematic review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08960. 2023.
7. Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC). CDISC Standards. https://www.cdisc.org/standards. Accessed 2023.
8. Health Level Seven International (HL7). Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR). https://www.hl7.org/fhir. Accessed 2023.
9. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence-based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. BMJ. 1996;312(7023):71-72.
10. Jensen PB, Jensen LJ, Brunak S. Mining electronic health records: towards better research applications and clinical care. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(6):395-405.
11. Shortliffe EH, Cimino JJ (eds). Biomedical Informatics: Computer Applications in Health Care and Biomedicine. 5th ed. Springer; 2021.
12. Rajkomar A, Dean J, Kohane I. Machine learning in medicine. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(14):1347-1358.
13. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nat Med. 2019;25(1).

How to Cite This Article
Preethi V, Koppal RV. From index to intelligence: the emerging role of clinical repertories in 21st century healthcare. International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences. 2025;9(3):1608-1610.