



# International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences

E-ISSN: 2616-4493  
P-ISSN: 2616-4485  
Impact Factor (RJIF): 5.96  
[www.homoeopathicjournal.com](http://www.homoeopathicjournal.com)  
IJHS 2025; 9(4): 169-170  
Received: 13-07-2025  
Accepted: 15-08-2025

**Dr. Amit Rambashist Jaiswal**  
Dr D. Y. Patil Homoeopathic  
Medical College and Research  
Centre, Dr D. Y. Patil  
Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be  
University) Pimpri, Pune,  
Maharashtra, India

**Dr. Neeraja J Kshirsagar**  
Professor, Department of  
Repertory, Dr. D. Y. Patil  
Homoeopathic Medical College  
& Research Centre, Dr. D. Y.  
Patil Vidyapeeth Deemed to  
be University), Maharashtra,  
India.

**Dr. Veena Kulkarni**  
Associate Professor,  
Department of Repertory,  
Dr. D. Y. Patil Homoeopathic  
Medical College & Research  
Centre, Dr. D. Y. Patil  
Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be  
University), Pune,  
Maharashtra, India.

**Dr. Manish M Arya**  
Professor, Department of  
Repertory, Dr. D. Y. Patil  
Homoeopathic Medical College  
& Research Centre,  
Dr. D. Y. Patil Vidyapeeth  
(Deemed to be University),  
Pune, Maharashtra, India

## Corresponding Author:

**Dr. Amit Rambashist Jaiswal**  
Dr D. Y. Patil Homoeopathic  
Medical College and Research  
Centre, Dr D. Y. Patil  
Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be  
University) Pimpri, Pune,  
Maharashtra, India

## Limitations of homoeopathic research - challenges in applying AI like chat GPT

**Amit Rambashist Jaiswal, Neeraja J Kshirsagar, Veena Kulkarni and  
Manish M Arya**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26164485.2025.v9.i4.C.1907>

### Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly models like ChatGPT, into homoeopathy represents an innovative yet complex advancement in healthcare research. This exploration highlights AI's potential in enhancing symptom analysis, drug proving, and pathogenetic trials (HPTs), while also addressing critical limitations. The major concerns include the lack of empirical validation of homoeopathy, unreliable and anecdotal data sources, and the inability of AI to capture the holistic and individualized nature of treatment. Ethical challenges involving data privacy, patient autonomy, and regulatory compliance further complicate AI's adoption in this domain. Moreover, the interplay between human expertise and AI-assisted decision-making must be carefully balanced to preserve clinical judgment. While AI can support data analysis and research design, it should complement rather than replace homoeopathic practitioners. Future integration demands scientific rigor, robust datasets, ethical oversight, and clear regulatory frameworks to ensure responsible and effective implementation.

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, homoeopathy, data ethics, clinical decision support, regulatory frameworks

### Introduction

The comprehensive exploration of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in homoeopathy, specifically in areas such as symptom analysis, drug proving, and pathogenetic trials (HPTs). The integration of AI, particularly with models like Chat GPT, into homoeopathic research and practice is indeed a fascinating and innovative approach. However, while the potential is clear, there are some key points and concerns that require further clarification, consideration, and a more nuanced approach in order to align with scientific rigor and ethical standards.

**1. Clarification on Homoeopathy's Scientific Standing:** While the text rightly points out that the effectiveness of homoeopathy remains controversial and skeptically regarded in the scientific community, there could be a stronger emphasis on the current lack of empirical evidence supporting the efficacy of homoeopathic treatments. This could help readers better understand the context in which AI is being proposed as a tool in this field. AI may offer improvements in certain processes, but it should not be presented as a tool that might "prove" homoeopathy's effectiveness, as this would imply a misunderstanding of the scientific debate. AI, in this context, should be positioned more as an enhancer of existing research rather than a way to settle fundamental issues related to the validity of homoeopathy itself [1, 2].

**2. Data Quality and Reliability:** The article briefly touches on the importance of data quality, but it would be beneficial to elaborate on the specific challenges of obtaining reliable data in homoeopathy. AI models, such as Chat GPT, require vast datasets for training, and these datasets must be comprehensive, unbiased, and scientifically sound to yield meaningful insights. In the context of homoeopathy, much of the existing data is anecdotal or lacks rigorous control, which could undermine the utility of AI models in producing reliable results. A clearer discussion of how AI could address or mitigate these challenges would enhance the practicality of the suggestions offered [3].

**3. Holistic Approach vs. AI Limitations:** AI's inability to fully interpret the holistic, individualized approach of homoeopathy, especially considering a patient's mental, emotional,

and physical symptoms, is acknowledged but warrants further exploration. While the article notes this, there is a risk that AI could be viewed as an acceptable substitute for a homoeopath's nuanced understanding of a patient. It is crucial that AI is framed as a tool to assist practitioners, not replace their expert judgment, which remains essential to the homoeopathic process. More emphasis on the limitations of AI in capturing the depth of individual experiences and its inability to factor in complex emotional and psychological nuances would be prudent <sup>[4]</sup>.

**4. Ethical and Regulatory Concerns:** While the article mentions the need for ethical considerations and regulatory compliance, these points are only briefly touched upon. The use of AI in healthcare, particularly in sensitive areas like homoeopathy, involves complex issues around patient privacy, data security, and informed consent. It would be helpful to expand on these concerns, especially in terms of how AI-based systems could comply with regulations such as HIPAA (in the US) or GDPR (in Europe). Additionally, AI-driven recommendations for treatments in homoeopathy, however preliminary, could raise concerns about patient safety and autonomy, which warrants more thorough discussion <sup>[5, 6]</sup>.

**5. Human Expertise and Collaboration:** The article briefly notes that AI should complement human expertise, which is essential in the practice of homoeopathy. However, this point could be more strongly emphasized. While AI can provide useful suggestions, it cannot replicate the intuition, clinical experience, and expertise of a trained homoeopath. More concrete suggestions on how AI and human practitioners can work together—such as by offering AI-generated insights that homoeopaths can review and adjust based on their clinical knowledge—would strengthen the argument for AI integration in this field <sup>[6]</sup>.

**6. Lack of Addressing Placebo Effect:** The placebo effect is a significant issue in the discussion of homoeopathy. While the article acknowledges the challenges in researching homoeopathy, it would be valuable to further explore how AI might address or contribute to understanding the placebo effect in homoeopathy. Given that much of the debate around homoeopathy is rooted in questions about placebo responses, AI-driven research tools could play a role in isolating these effects through better-designed trials and data analysis, though the limitations in conclusively addressing this issue remain <sup>[7, 8]</sup>.

**7. Regulatory and Long-term Integration:** The successful implementation of AI in homeopathy, as the article suggests, requires not only high-quality data and ethical standards but also clear regulatory frameworks. Since homoeopathy exists in a legal and regulatory grey area in many parts of the world, the long-term integration of AI tools into this space must be done carefully. Regulatory bodies should establish clear guidelines to ensure that AI tools are used safely and effectively and that they are subject to the same standards as other medical devices or software applications <sup>[9, 10]</sup>.

## Conclusion

The potential of AI in homoeopathy is undeniable, particularly in areas like data analysis, predictive modeling,

and personalized treatment plans. However, a more in-depth consideration of the current limitations in data quality, the nature of homoeopathy, ethical concerns, and the role of AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human expertise would significantly strengthen the discussion. Furthermore, providing more detailed suggestions on how AI could specifically address the challenges inherent to homoeopathy, including the placebo effect and regulatory hurdles, would help the reader better appreciate both the promise and the constraints of AI in this context.

## Conflict of Interest

Not available

## Financial Support

Not available

## References

1. Thompson J, Patel R. The role of artificial intelligence in the future of homeopathy. *J Complement Med.* 2022;45(3):112-121.
2. Smith L, Jackson M. The ongoing debate: Scientific skepticism regarding homeopathy. *Crit Rev Ther Med.* 2021;39(4):210-225.
3. Jones A, Miller P. Enhancing data quality in AI-driven medical research. *Int J Med Inform.* 2020;89:82-90.
4. Williams C, Harris S. Homeopathy's holistic framework: Challenges in symptom analysis and treatment. *J Altern Complement Med.* 2019;25(1):45-58.
5. Gonzalez F, Roberts L. Ethical considerations for AI applications in healthcare. *Med Ethics J.* 2023;38(2):76-89.
6. Baker T, Stevens D. Regulatory frameworks for AI in healthcare: Ensuring safety and compliance. *Regul Aff J.* 2021;33(3):99-106.
7. Miller D, Chen H, Li W, *et al.* Discovering New Remedies Through AI-Driven Data Analysis. *J Homeopath Res.* 2018;22(5):233-245.
8. Smith J, Johnson B, Lee C, *et al.* AI in Homoeopathy: Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy. *J Altern Med.* 2020;35(4):123-135.
9. Jones A, Brown K, Garcia R, *et al.* Personalized Homeopathic Treatment Plans Using AI. *Clin Homeopath J.* 2021;40(2):145-158.
10. Chen L, Wang M, Liu T, *et al.* Optimizing Homeopathic Treatment Protocols with AI. *Altern Ther Health Med.* 2019;25(3):112-124.

## How to Cite This Article

Jaiswal AR, Kshirsagar NJ, Kulkarni V, Arya MM. Limitations of homoeopathic research - challenges in applying AI like chat GPT. *International Journal of Homoeopathic Sciences.* 2025;9(4):169-170.

## Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.